

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/955,198	09/19/2001	Hajime Tabata	0505-0870P	8673		
2292	7590 09/25/2006		EXAMINER			
BIRCH STI	EWART KOLASCH &	GESESSE,	GESESSE, TILAHUN			
	, JRCH, VA 22040-0747	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
	,		2618			
				DATE MAILED: 09/25/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Ap	plication No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)			
		09	)/955,198	TABATA ET AL.				
		Ex	aminer	Art Unit				
		Tila	ahun B. Gesessse	2618	¥			
	MAILING DATE of this communi	cation appears	on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence ac	dress			
Period for Re								
WHICHEV - Extensions after SIX (6) - If NO period - Failure to re Any reply re	ENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR IS LONGER, FROM THE MADE IS LONGER, FROM THE MADE IS LONGER, FROM THE MADE IS LONGER IN THE MADE IS A COMMITTED IN THE MADE IS LONGER IN THE MADE IN THE MADE IS LONGER IN THE MADE IS LONGER IN THE MADE IN THE MADE IN THE MADE IS LONGER IN THE MADE	AILING DATE of 37 CFR 1.136(a). unication. tutory period will app will, by statute, caus	OF THIS COMMUNI In no event, however, may a oly and will expire SIX (6) MO e the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this of BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,			
Status								
1)⊠ Resi	oonsive to communication(s) file	d on 05 July 2	006					
· ·	• •							
′ <del></del>	<ul> <li>This action is FINAL.</li> <li>2b) This action is non-final.</li> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is</li> </ul>							
	ed in accordance with the practic		•	·				
Disposition o	·	•		·				
4)⊠ Clair	n(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the a	oplication.						
· ·	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	m(s) is/are allowed.							
_	n(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.							
7)∐ Clair	n(s) is/are objected to.			•				
8) <u>□</u> Clair	n(s) are subject to restrict	tion and/or ele	ction requirement.					
Application P	apers							
9)∏ The s	specification is objected to by the	Examiner.						
•	frawing(s) filed on is/are:		d or b) objected to	by the Examiner.				
•	cant may not request that any objec	•	•	•				
	acement drawing sheet(s) including				FR 1.121(d).			
11) <u></u> The α	eath or declaration is objected to	by the Exami	ner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119							
	owledgment is made of a claim f b)  Some * c)  None of:	or foreign prio	rity under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
, 1.□	· ·	documents hav	ve been received.					
2.	•			Application No				
3.	Copies of the certified copies of	of the priority d	ocuments have beer	received in this National	Stage			
	application from the Internation	nal Bureau (PC	T Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See th	e attached detailed Office action	for a list of th	e certified copies not	received.				
Attachment(s)			_					
	eferences Cited (PTO-892) aftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT			Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date				
3) 🔲 Information	Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	U-946)	5) 🔲 Notice of I	nformal Patent Application				
Paper No(s	/Mail Date		6) 🗌 Other:	·				

Art Unit: 2618

### **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

#### Status of claims

1. This is in response to applicant's amendment and argument filed July 5, 2006 in which claims 1-20 are pending.

# Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-20 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mikami (7,096,018) in view of Pottala et al (US 5,881,370).

Claim 1, Mikami teaches a communication system with a group registration function, (see col. 3 lines 39-64 and col.4 lines 17-39) comprising:

Mikami teaches a plurality of communication devices (6,7,8 of fig.1) each including.

Mikami teaches a group mode for selectively registering at least one specific communication partner in each of a plurality of groups, the group mode

Art Unit: 2618

allowing communication only between a first group of the plurality of groups selectively registered and a second group of the plurality of groups selectively registered (see col. 3, lines 39-64 and col. 4, lines 17-39 and figs.1-4).

Mikami does not expressly teach a switch, wherein the switch being adapted to enable switching of communication from the first group to the second group with a single operation of the switch.

However, Pottala teaches a communication apparatus (100) includes an automatically configured multimode talk switch (154) can be operated in a simplex communication mode, and duplex communication mode (see abstract and fig.2 and col.2, lines 57-col.3, line 6).

Both Mikami and Pottala teach group communication technique, then, it would have been obvious to an artisan of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to switch from a group to another group using a single operation in Mikami system, as evidenced by Pottala, in order to operate in multimode communication using push to talk switch (see abstract).

Claim 2, Mikami teaches a communication system with a group registration function (see col. 3, lines 39-64 and col. 4, lines 17-39 and figs.1-4), comprising:

Mikami teaches a group mode for selectively registering at least one specific communication partner in each of a plurality of groups, the group mode allowing communication only between a first group of the plurality of groups selectively registered and a second group of the plurality of groups selectively

registered (see col. 3, lines 39-64 and col. 4, lines 17-39 and figs.1-4).

Mikami does not expressly teach a switch, wherein the switch being adapted to enable switching of communication from the first group to the second group with a single operation of the switch.

However, Pottala teaches a communication apparatus (100) includes an automatically configured multimode talk switch (154) can be operated in a simplex communication mode, and duplex communication mode (see abstract and fig.2 and col.2, lines 57-col.3, line 6).

Both Mikami and Pottala teach group communication technique, then, it would have been obvious to an artisan of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to switch from a group to another group using a single operation in Mikami system, as evidenced by Pottala, in order to operate in multimode communication using push to talk switch (see abstract).

Claims 3-6. Mikami teaches a group mode for selectively registering at least one specific communication partner in each of a plurality of groups, the group mode allowing communication only between a first group of the plurality of groups selectively registered and a second group of the plurality of groups selectively registered (see col. 3, lines 39-64 and col. 4, lines 17-39 and figs.1-4).

Mikami does not expressly teach a switch, wherein the switch being adapted to enable switching of communication from the first group to the second group with a single operation of the switch.

Art Unit: 2618

However, Pottala teaches a communication apparatus (100) includes an automatically configured multimode talk switch (154) can be operated in a simplex communication mode, and duplex communication mode (see abstract and fig.2 and col.2, lines 57-col.3, line 6).

Both Mikami and Pottala teach group communication technique, then, it would have been obvious to an artisan of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to switch from a group to another group using a single operation in Mikami system, as evidenced by Pottala, in order to operate in multimode communication using push to talk switch (see abstract).

Claims 7-10, Mikami teaches a group mode for selectively registering at least one specific communication partner in each of a plurality of groups, the group mode allowing communication only between a first group of the plurality of groups selectively registered and a second group of the plurality of groups selectively registered (see col. 3, lines 39-64 and col. 4, lines 17-39 and figs.1-4). It is considered that any of the mobile device be a visitor from different cell.

Mikami does not expressly teach a switch, wherein the switch being adapted to enable switching of communication from the first group to the second group with a single operation of the switch.

However, Pottala teaches a communication apparatus (100) includes an automatically configured multimode talk switch (154) can be operated in a simplex communication mode, and duplex communication mode (see abstract and fig.2 and col.2, lines 57-col.3, line 6).

Both Mikami and Pottala teach group communication technique, then, it would have been obvious to an artisan of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to switch from a group to another group using a single operation in Mikami system, as evidenced by Pottala, in order to operate in multimode communication using push to talk switch (see abstract).

Claims 11 -16, Mikami teaches a group mode for selectively registering at least one specific communication partner in each of a plurality of groups, the group mode allowing communication only between a first group of the plurality of groups selectively registered and a second group of the plurality of groups selectively registered (see col. 3, lines 39-64 and col. 4, lines 17-39 and figs.1-4). It is considered that any of the mobile device be a visitor from different cell and in vehicle.

Mikami does not expressly teach a switch, wherein the switch being adapted to enable switching of communication from the first group to the second group with a single operation of the switch.

However, Pottala teaches a communication apparatus (100) includes an automatically configured multimode talk switch (154) can be operated in a simplex communication mode, and duplex communication mode (see abstract and fig.2 and col.2, lines 57-col.3, line 6).

Both Mikami and Pottala teach group communication technique, then, it would have been obvious to an artisan of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to switch from a group to another group using a single operation in Mikami

system, as evidenced by Pottala, in order to operate in multimode communication using push to talk switch (see abstract).

Claims 17-19, Mikami teaches a group mode for selectively registering at least one specific communication partner in each of a plurality of groups, the group mode allowing communication only between a first group of the plurality of groups selectively registered and a second group of the plurality of groups selectively registered (see col. 3, lines 39-64 and col. 4, lines 17-39 and figs.1-4).

Mikami does not expressly teach a switch, wherein the switch being adapted to enable switching of communication from the first group to the second group with a single operation of the switch.

However, Pottala teaches a communication apparatus (100) includes an automatically configured multimode talk switch (154) can be operated in a simplex communication mode, and duplex communication mode (see abstract and fig.2 and col.2, lines 57-col.3, line 6).

Both Mikami and Pottala teach group communication technique, then, it would have been obvious to an artisan of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to switch from a group to another group using a single operation in Mikami system, as evidenced by Pottala, in order to operate in multimode communication using push to talk switch (see abstract).

Claim 20, Mikami teaches a communication system with a group registration function, (see col. 3 lines 39-64 and col.4 lines 17-39) comprising:

Mikami teaches a plurality of communication devices (6,7,8 of fig.1) each including.

Mikami teaches a group mode for selectively registering at least one specific communication partner in each of a plurality of groups, the group mode allowing communication only between a first group of the plurality of groups selectively registered and a second group of the plurality of groups selectively registered (see col. 3, lines 39-64 and col. 4, lines 17-39 and figs.1-4).

Mikami does not expressly teach a switch, wherein the switch being adapted to enable switching of communication from the first group to the second group with a single operation of the switch.

However, Pottala teaches a communication apparatus (100) includes an automatically configured multimode talk switch (154) can be operated in a simplex communication mode, and duplex communication mode (see abstract and fig.2 and col.2, lines 57-col.3, line 6).

Both Mikami and Pottala teach group communication technique, then, it would have been obvious to an artisan of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to switch from a group to another group using a single operation in Mikami system, as evidenced by Pottala, in order to operate in multimode communication using push to talk switch (see abstract).

Art Unit: 2618

#### Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Page 9

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tilahun B Gesesse whose telephone number is 571-272-7879. The examiner can normally be reached on flexible schedule.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Urban can be reached on 571-272-7899.

The Central FAX Number is 571-273-8300. For patent related correspondence, hand carry deliveries must be made to the Customer Service Window (now located at the Randolph Building, 401 Delaney Street, Alexandria,

VA 22314), and facsimile transmissions must be sent to the Central FAX number. unless an exception applies.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pairdirect.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (tollfree).

TG

9/14/06

Page 10